

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,315	03/01/2004	Marc Bowman	3301.0058	1811
75	7590 10/29/2004		EXAMINER	
Kevin L. Russell			HOLLINGTON, JERMELE M	
Suite 1600 601 SW Second Ave.			ART UNIT	. PAPER NUMBER
Portland, OR 97204-3157			2829	

DATE MAILED: 10/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/791,315	BOWMAN ET AL.	BOWMAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jermele M. Hollington	2829	Phy.	
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	-	i i	lress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repon. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this cor NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un 	This action is non-final. Iowance except for formal matter	•	merits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exact 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 07 June 2004 is/at Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square object to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance correction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CF		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been re dureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National S	Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	(8) Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO -	-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office				

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g) and Note below.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: item 90 in Figs. 6 and 7. See Note below.
- The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because a) reference character "20" has been used to designate both strip [see page 1, line 15] and current sensor [see page 5, line13] and b) reference character "22" has been used to designate both strip [see page 1, line 15] and outputs [see page 5, line 15]. See Note below.

Note: Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement-drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

4. Applicants are reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a

Application/Control Number: 10/791,315

Art Unit: 2829

basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

5. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 6. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not within the range of 50 to 150 words as indicated above. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 7. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: reference character "20" has been used to designate both strip [see page 1, line 15] and current sensor [see page 5,

Application/Control Number: 10/791,315

Art Unit: 2829

line13] and b) reference character "22" has been used to designate both strip [see page 1, line 15] and outputs [see page 5, line 15].

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

8. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

9. Claims 1-15 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,809,509. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because in claim 1, the "support" of this application has to be "suitable for being supported within a power panel" as claimed in the U.S. Patent No. 6,809,509 since in page 8 of the applicants' disclosure, it states that "... the sensors to be arranged in a more compact arrangement within the power panel" wherein the sensors are supporter by the support 62 [see applicants' disclosure page 8, line 1]. It would been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the claimed invention to include the support suitable for being supported within a power panel since the sensors are supported by support 62 wherein the sensors are arranged in a more compact arrangement within the power panel.

Art Unit: 2829

The relationship between the claimed invention and U.S. Patent is as follows: claim 1 of the application is related to claim 1 of the US Patent, claim 2 relates to claim 2, claim 3 relates to claim 3, claim 4 relates to claim 4, claim 5 relates to claim 5, claim 6 relates to claim 6, claim 7, relates to claim 7, claim 8 relates to claim 8, claim 9 relates to claim 9, claim 10 relates to claim 10, claim 11 relates to claim 11, claim 12 relates to claim 12, claim 13 relates to claim 13, claim 14 relates to claim 14 and claim 15 relates to claims 15-16 of the US Patent No. 6,809,509.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jermele M. Hollington whose telephone number is (571) 272-1960. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-4:30 EST) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Tokar can be reached on (517) 272-1812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jermele M. Hollington
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2829

JMH October 27, 2004.